

## Math 704 Problem Set 2 Solutions

### Problem 1.

- (i) Construct an entire function with simple zeros at the points  $\log n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), and with no other zeros.

Notice that the first zero is at  $\log 1 = 0$ . The Weierstrass product theorem (Theorem 8.21), with the choice  $d_n = n$ , shows that

$$f(z) = z \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} E_n \left( \frac{z}{\log n} \right)$$

is an entire function with the desired property. No canonical product with fixed  $d_n = d$  would work because  $\sum 1/(\log n)^{d+1}$  is divergent for every  $d \geq 0$ . However, the choice  $d_n = n$  is not necessary, as something like  $d_n = \lfloor \log n \rfloor$  would also work. In fact, you can easily check that this choice works for *any* Weierstrass product, no matter what the sequence of zeros might be.

- (ii) Construct an entire function with a zero of order  $n$  at the point  $n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), and with no other zeros.

Looking at the condition of Theorem 8.21, it suffices to find an integer  $d \geq 0$  such that the sum

$$\left(\frac{r}{1}\right)^{d+1} + 2 \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{d+1} + 3 \left(\frac{r}{3}\right)^{d+1} + \dots = r^{d+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^d}$$

converges for any given  $r > 0$ . Clearly  $d = 2$  will do, showing that

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( E_2 \left( \frac{z}{n} \right) \right)^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{n} \right)^n \exp \left( z + \frac{z^2}{2n} \right)$$

is an entire function with the desired property.

**Problem 2.** Suppose  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a simply connected domain and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  is not identically zero. Assume there is an integer  $k \geq 2$  that divides the order of every zero of  $f$ . Show that  $f$  has a holomorphic  $k$ -th root in  $U$ , i.e.,  $f = g^k$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ .

Arrange the distinct zeros of  $f$  in a (finite or infinite) sequence  $\{z_n\}$  which has no accumulation point in  $U$ . Let  $m_n = \text{ord}(f, z_n)$ . By the assumption,  $\ell_n = m_n/k$  is an integer for all  $n$ . Use the Weierstrass product theorem for general domains (Theorem 8.25) to find an  $h \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  with a zero of order  $\ell_n$  at  $z_n$  for every  $n$ , and no other zeros. Then  $h^k$  vanishes precisely along  $\{z_n\}$  and  $\text{ord}(h^k, z_n) = k \text{ord}(h, z_n) = k \ell_n = m_n$ . This shows that the ratio  $f/h^k$  has removable singularities at every  $z_n$ , so it extends to a non-vanishing holomorphic function  $\phi$  in  $U$ . Since  $U$  is simply connected,  $\phi$  has a holomorphic  $k$ -th root  $\psi$  in  $U$ . The product  $g = h\psi \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  now satisfies  $g^k = h^k \psi^k = h^k \phi = f$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M(r) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . Show that  $f$  is a polynomial if and only if

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{\log r} < +\infty.$$

If  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $d$ , then  $M(r) \leq \text{const. } r^d$  so  $\log M(r) \leq \text{const.} + d \log r$  for all  $r > 0$  and (1) clearly holds. Conversely, suppose (1) holds. Then there is a  $d > 0$  such that  $\log M(r)/\log r \leq d$  or  $M(r) \leq r^d$  for all large  $r$ . By Cauchy's estimates,

$$|f^{(n)}(0)| \leq \frac{n!}{r^n} M(r) \leq n! r^{d-n}$$

for all large  $r$ . If  $n > d$ , it follows by letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$  that  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$ . This shows that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \leq d} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n$$

is a polynomial of degree at most  $d$ .

**Problem 4.** Prove the following analog of Jensen's formula for meromorphic functions: Let  $f$  be meromorphic in  $\mathbb{D}(0, R)$  with no zeros or poles at  $z = 0$  or on the circle  $|z| = r < R$ . Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  denote the zeros and poles of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}(0, r)$ , each repeated as many times as its order. Then

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{it})| dt = \log |f(0)| + \sum_{n=1}^k \log \left( \frac{r}{|z_n|} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^m \log \left( \frac{r}{|p_n|} \right).$$

We may assume  $r = 1$ ; otherwise consider  $f(rz)$  on the disk  $\mathbb{D}(0, R/r)$  with zeros and poles at  $z_n/r$  and  $p_n/r$ . The finite Blaschke product

$$B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^k \left( \frac{z - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n z} \right) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{1 - \bar{p}_n z}{z - p_n} \right)$$

has zeros at the  $z_n$  and poles at the  $p_n$ , all of the same order as  $f$ . Hence  $h = f/B$  has removable singularities and extends to a non-vanishing holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{D}(0, 1 + \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $\log |h|$  is harmonic in  $\mathbb{D}(0, 1 + \varepsilon)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(e^{it})| dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |h(e^{it})| dt && \text{(since } |B(z)| = 1 \text{ for } |z| = 1) \\ &= \log |h(0)| && \text{(by the mean value property of } \log |h|) \\ &= \log |f(0)| - \log |B(0)| \\ &= \log |f(0)| - \sum_{n=1}^k \log |z_n| + \sum_{n=1}^m \log |p_n|, \end{aligned}$$

which is the formula (2) for  $r = 1$ .

**Problem 5.** Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded holomorphic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . If

$$f(e^{-1/n}) = g(e^{-1/n}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1,$$

show that  $f = g$  everywhere in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

The function  $f - g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded and vanishes along the sequence  $z_n = e^{-1/n}$ . Since

$$1 - |z_n| = 1 - e^{-1/n} = \frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|)$  diverges. It follows from Theorem 8.34 (see also Example 8.35) that  $f - g$  is identically zero.

**Problem 6.** Let  $\mathbb{H}$  denote the upper half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of positive numbers with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = +\infty$ . Find a necessary and sufficient condition on  $\{t_n\}$  for the existence of a bounded  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  with simple zeros along the sequence  $\{i/t_n\}$ . How would the answer change if we placed the zeros along the sequence  $\{t_n + i\}$  instead?

We use the conformal isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  given by  $w = \varphi(z) = (i - z)(i + z)$  to transfer the problem to the unit disk. Evidently  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  is bounded with simple zeros along  $\{z_n\}$  if and only if  $f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded with simple zeros along  $w_n = \varphi(z_n)$ . It follows from Theorem 8.34 that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such  $f$  is  $\sum (1 - |w_n|) < +\infty$ .

If  $z_n = i/t_n$ , then

$$w_n = \varphi\left(\frac{i}{t_n}\right) = \frac{i - \frac{i}{t_n}}{i + \frac{i}{t_n}} = \frac{t_n - 1}{t_n + 1}.$$

This gives

$$1 - |w_n| = 1 - w_n = \frac{2}{t_n + 1},$$

which is comparable to  $1/t_n$  since  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus, the desired condition in this case is  $\sum 1/t_n < +\infty$ .

If, on the other hand,  $z_n = t_n + i$ , then

$$w_n = \varphi(t_n + i) = \frac{i - t_n - i}{i + t_n + i} = \frac{-t_n}{t_n + 2i}.$$

This gives

$$1 - |w_n| = 1 - \frac{t_n}{\sqrt{t_n^2 + 4}} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{t_n^2}}} = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{4}{t_n^2}\right)^{-1/2} = \frac{2}{t_n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t_n^4}\right),$$

where we have used the Taylor expansion  $(1 + x)^\alpha = 1 + \alpha x + O(x^2)$  near  $x = 0$ . Thus,  $1 - |w_n|$  is comparable to  $1/t_n^2$  and the desired condition in this case is  $\sum 1/t_n^2 < +\infty$ .

*Comment 1.* An alternative path to the second case is to first observe that since

$$1 \leq \frac{1 - |w_n|^2}{1 - |w_n|} = 1 + |w_n| \leq 2,$$

the terms  $1 - |w_n|^2$  and  $1 - |w_n|$  are comparable and we may as well look at the series  $\sum(1 - |w_n|^2)$  (useful trick: It's often easier to work with absolute value squared). Now

$$1 - |w_n|^2 = 1 - \frac{t_n^2}{t_n^2 + 4} = \frac{4}{t_n^2 + 4},$$

which is comparable to  $1/t_n^2$ , giving the same condition as before.

*Comment 2.* The two cases of the problem contrast the difference between *radial* and *tangential* convergence to the boundary. In the first case  $w_n \rightarrow 1$  along the real line while in the second case  $w_n \rightarrow -1$  along a circle tangent to  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  at  $-1$ .